

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Date: August 14, 2019

Attendance

Board Members

Present: Dave Decker, Ali DeVries, Monica O'Brien, Tony Harris, Steve Yates Jr. and Anthony Harrell

Excused Absence: Matt Ressler

Quorum Present: Yes

Others Present

Rob Clarkson, Rob Frey and Megan Jackson from the Holishor Office

Holishor Members Present: 9

Proceedings

Meeting called to order at 7:30 PM

Pledge of Allegiance Recited

Meeting Minutes of July 24, 2019

Steve Yates Jr. – Motions to approve the minutes are amended.

Tony Harris – Seconds.

All in Favor.

Motion Carries.

Transfers of Property

There are eight Transfers of Property since our last report. All in which are houses with six triggered initiation fees.

Bills & Salaries

Tony Harris – Motions to approve Bills & Salaries as submitted.

Ali DeVries – Seconds.

All in Favor.

Motion Carries.

Profit & Loss

Submitted for Review

Manager's Report

Read by Rob Clarkson

Public Safety Report

Read by Rob Frey

Old Business

PWC Proposed Rule

Dave Decker – To summarize this, the propose rule is PWC's that are not allowed are the ones greater than 150 horsepower and only four stroke. Two stroke PWC's that are currently registered with Holiday Shores when this rule becomes affective will be grandfathered in. Once the change ownership, that two stroke PWC can no longer be registered with Holiday Shores. Does anyone have any comments on the rule itself? **Henry Halverson, 1829** – I've been a member since 2001 and have been on several Boating Committees. I was on the committee this year when we discussed proposed rule changes. I was surprised to say the least of what was proposed to the membership. I do not understand why we are pushing for four strokes on PWC's, what is the logic there when there is other watercraft out here that are

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

two stroke? **Anthony Harrell** – That question was brought up last meeting too. When we put the vote out to the membership at the Annual Meeting, it was discussed that two stroke PWC's that are 85 horsepower or less, you cannot purchase those anymore. The other two things are more safety features and better for the environment. Taking all of those three things to affect, the Boating Committee recommended 100 horsepower but looking at the safety aspects of those PWC's are entry levels and there are more safety features available on the larger models of 150 horsepower. In that category of 150 horsepower or less, they're all the same acceleration rates. For the safety aspect, we decided to go with larger horsepower. For the environmental aspect we decided to go only four stroke. **Henry Halverson, 1829** – The two stroke verses four stroke, I don't disagree with the environmental aspect, but I always think it's appropriate to be fair to everybody. If we are only going to allow only four stroke for PWC's because it is bad for the environment, then the same applies to other boats as well. It does not make any sense to me. The way it was presented to the Boating Committee was trying to give members some option from not having to purchase a twenty-year-old wave runner that meets our codes. When I did research on max speeds, the one's we have out here now with 85 horsepower will do roughly 40mph or more depending on how light the person is. If you go to 150 horsepower, you can get up to 60mph and the scary thing for me is having the same age kids that are riding these faster PWC's, especially with the state law's minimum age of twelve years old. That is just scary to me as a resident and someone who uses the lake. My personal experience with the Board is to always err on the side of caution and be conservative but this to me doesn't seem very conservative. This seems like we are just giving members more options of PWC's to purchase. I appreciate your time. **Ali DeVries** – I agree that we do not need watercraft going over 60mph. Before I was for above 100 but below 150 horsepower. Those comments make me lean towards 125 again. **Tony Harris** – I'll have to check more of the newer specs on the spreadsheet Henry brought us because I see a couple of vessels that go up to 53mph with 150 horsepower.

Holiday Shores Boating Test

Dave Decker – In our packets we have a sample PWC test that was put together by Dave Maibaum. The current proposal was to require anyone of the age sixteen or eighteen, we haven't decided, to take this course every year. I think there are still a lot of questions on when, how and how to monitor it. What is the Board's thoughts? **Tony Harris** – My thought was to give it once or a couple times a month during the boating season. Along with the test, I thought they could bring their PWC's to the beach and after the test, they can have an on the water test. I envision twenty minutes at most to watch them operate rather than just a written test. If they pass, that is when we would issue their certificate. I feel we would have to staff that to make that manageable, that is why I suggested a set date a couple of times a month for testing. **Dave Decker** – The underline theme behind what you're saying is they have to come into the office to take the test? **Tony Harris** – Yes, they would have to take the test in person. **Dave Decker** – Some of the conversation at the last meeting was allowing people to take it remotely, online or via email. **Tony Harris** – My question is if you're taking it remotely, do we know they actually took the test rather than having someone to take it for them. **Monica O'Brien** – I think the idea too was people are always going to find loop holes for things. I think we need to put a little more faith in our members. **Tony Harris** – That is true, and I don't want to make it a trust thing, but the goal is to know that they have read our rules, state rules and rules of our lake. If they took the exam personally in the office, then we know they read our rules and understand and to apply those rules. I personally do not care if they read the rules five minutes before they took the test as long as they understand and demonstrate understanding of them. **Monica O'Brien** – I support the written test and I like your idea about having them test on the water, but my concern is the practicality of it. We have a hard time getting volunteers for anything out here and we know that Public Safety is stretched thin being on the lake. **Tony Harris** – In reality, this will not happen until next year, so we have time to figure these things out. **Anthony Harrell** – I've been thinking about this test, I love the idea but having it every year is going to be a nightmare for the office to track. I just don't know how we are going to enforce this. **Tony Harris** – I don't know if it would be too difficult because right now Angie keeps a list of watercraft information when they come in to register their watercraft. There could be a column added with their name who passed the test. **Monica O'Brien** – I thought we discussed last meeting that this would not be the office's responsibility to keep up with who did it but having someone stopped to show proof. There needs to be some personal responsibility held by our members. **Dave Decker** – You would definitely need to keep track of who took it in the office records but what we had talked about was issuing a card or certificate to the individual who passed the test. This is going to be a challenge to manage either way we go about this. The other side of this is you're

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

really not going to pull them over to check for their card and that they passed the test, they're going to be pulled over when they're doing something wrong. We have gone through our options, so which direction do we want to go? I'll call it open methodology or closed methodology. Open meaning via email or grab the test from the office and bring it back, closed meaning the test be proctored. **Tony Harris** – From an office stand point, setting a time for individuals to take the test or just coming in at their leisure, do either of those sound better for office staff? **Rob Clarkson** – Any other time than May would be great. We prepare for the Annual Meeting in May, it would be a nightmare. **Tony Harris** – I was thinking after the Annual Meeting. **Rob Clarkson** – Before the Annual Meeting, boating season starts. **Dave Decker** – That is when you're going to have your rush is when people are ready to come out and use the lake. **Rob Clarkson** – Your boat stickers expire April 30th. **Dave Decker** – I don't know we want to propose the office staff doing any checking that says they have a child that has not taken the test. I think it is strictly the member coming in to take the test, if they pass, we would then issue them a card. Can we agree on that? **Tony Harris** – Yes. **Anthony Harrell** – We discussed at the last meeting that this test would be for both PWC's and regular boats. **Dave Decker** – Yes, it is a watercraft test even though it is labeled PWC test. **Tony Harris** – I was also thinking about anyone who is new to the subdivision needs to take the test. **Ali DeVries** – I disagree, I don't think adults need to take the test. At closing, you are signing that you understand our by-laws and boating rules. Adults needs to be held accountable for their actions. **Monica O'Brien** – It was discussed at the last meeting that we are not requiring the adults to take the test. **Ray Garber, 1822** – Back to the rush time in the office, could you change the expiration date on the boat stickers to eliminate that? **Dave Decker** – The expiration date on boat stickers are tied to the date when your dues and assessments are supposed to be paid. **Rob Clarkson** – Something else to consider, you are no longer a member once you turn eighteen. **Brent Furrow, 885** – I hear a lot of talk about safety so I would be in favor for everyone to take a test. I do not have any kids, but I would not be opposed to taking a test. If we are going to say the safety is the upmost importance, I say bring every adult in. If it's an easy test like you say, then they learn something, and you can ensure that they know the rules. **Dave Decker** – In regard to taking the test, I talked about an open or closed test, which way is the Board leaning? **Monica O'Brien** – Open. **Anthony Harrell** – Open. **Tony Harris** – I'm in favor for whatever is easiest to manage. **Ali DeVries** – I think an open test would be easy to manage. **Steve Yates Jr.** – I know there had discussion about the difficulty of having to come in during office hours, but I am personally okay with a closed test. **Dave Decker** – It sounds like we are going down the road of an open test. What other components of this are there? **Ali DeVries** – Age. **Dave Decker** – We've talked about two ages, sixteen and eighteen. I think some people have gone back and forth in regard to that. Sixteen or eighteen? Or something else? **Board agrees on age sixteen.** **Dave Decker** – To summarize what I heard is an open test to operate any craft, sixteen and under, record that they passed the test and issue a card. What else? **Anthony Harrell** – Are we going to issue this every year from ages twelve to sixteen? Will we only issue this once and give them their card? **Tony Harris** – I would say every year from age twelve to sixteen. **Steve Yates Jr.** – I would think they would take it once and if they do not have a citation, they should not take it again. If they get a citation, then they should retake the test. **Ali DeVries** – I would say retake it every year. **Monica O'Brien** – I say once. **Tony Harris** – My thing is that it is a short test, it should take about ten minutes of their time to take it. I think it is a nice refresher. **Monica O'Brien** – Most likely it is going to be parents bringing their children in and the office is open until 4:30. I think we need to take people's schedules into consideration as well. Taking the test by email is a lot going into office time. **Tony Harris** – They could pull the test off of our website. **Anthony Harrell** – Yes, they can print off the test, take it and then drop it off into the office. **Steve Yates Jr.** – Someone still has to grade it, enter it into the computer and make them a card. I think doing that one time for an individual is sufficient enough until they would be issued a citation. **Monica O'Brien** – We also discussed quite a bit that most accidents out here are not caused by children, it's the adults. It's the adults that know the rules and not following them as well. **Steve Yates Jr.** – I say if they take the test and then issued a citation, they have to retake the test next year no matter their age. **Ali DeVries** – I agree with that. That could be added into our fines structure. **Dave Decker** – So we are at an open test, ages twelve to sixteen, take it once unless they get a citation then they would have to retake it once after that, we will decide how often at a later date. It gets recorded who took it and passed then we would issue a card. **Ray Garber, 1822** – I'm addressing the office issue, obviously there will be time spent by office personnel to do this. You either have to find the time or add an additional person to do it. I've brought this up before but maybe there could be a fee associated with the test or boat stickers to help pay for the test. **Dave Decker** – In regard to administrating the test, I think that should be turned over to Public Safety as opposed to the two office staff. The officer can come in, grade any

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

outstanding tests and then continue with their shift. **Lisa Purdy East, 1302** – When I moved here in 2004, I actually took a Boating Safety Course and I believe it was the state of Illinois that did it. **Dave Decker** – We still do that once a year in April. **Rob Clarkson** – It is actually a coast guard course. **Anthony Harrell** – In that course that is held here, they do not teach Holiday Shores boating rules, just the state rules. This test is to enforce our rules on the lake.

Boating Fines Structure

Dave Decker – Our current structure, there are four levels based on the severity or reoccurrence. **Dave Decker reads current fines structure.** **Dave Decker** – Our proposed fines structure reads Level 1 at \$50, Level 2 at \$100, Level 3 at \$200 with thirty days suspension of membership privileges, Level 4 at \$200 with one-year suspension of membership privileges. According to our By-Laws, the most the Board can charge is \$200 unless that by-law is changed which had discussion on bringing that to the next Annual Meeting. **Ali DeVries** – I would add to each level passing a supervised written Holiday Shores boating test. **Anthony Harrell** – I agree. **Dave Decker** – Who is required to take the test? The person who owns the watercraft? For an example, let's say a fifteen-year-old was issued a citation, the member is the one who gets the citation. **Ali DeVries** – Would it need to be noted on the citation who was operating the craft? **Ali DeVries** – I want the person who violated the rules to take the test. **Steve Yates Jr.** – I'm also fine with the boat owner having to take it. They are the ones giving the keys to the person who broke the rules. **Anthony Harrell** – I also think it needs to be the watercraft owner to take the test. **Monica O'Brien** – What if there are several owners on the watercraft. There are members who have both their name and spouse's name. **Tony Harris** – They would both have to take it then. **Ali DeVries** – I think the person operating the watercraft should take the test. **Anthony Harrell** – Which is on the test. **Steve Yates Jr.** – I'm still a fan of having the watercraft owners taking the test. **Rob Clarkson** – Our rules do state that the member is responsible. **Justin Patterson, 1402** – I would consider having the member who is on the watercraft title to take the test. If the operator is under eighteen or an associate member then they should also have to take the test. Other than that, there is no way. **Dave Decker** – The proposal I just heard was the member and the operator, if the operator is a minor or associate member you revoke their associate member card and their certificate until they retake the test. **Tony Harris** – Yes. **Anthony Harrell** – I'm okay with that. **Dave Decker** – In regard to the member, it can be any member/owner of that boat. **Steve Yates Jr.** – Yes.

2019 Road Plan Adjustment

Dave Decker – Rob Clarkson and Rob Frey, you have an adjustment to the 2019 road plan? **Dave Decker** – Let's talk about the engineering study first, that is what was handed to us downstairs. If I translated what I heard correctly is we had them look at an additional culvert pipe. The additional cost was \$300 over the original bid? **Rob Clarkson** - \$300 over the original approved bid. We approved \$2,400 which we've spend \$1,000 of that which left \$1,400, with this additional work it will be \$1,700. We are looking for \$300 extra to add that culvert pipe. **Steve Yates Jr.** – For those who were not there for the original conversation, Rob went out and recognized an area the water was flowing fast over that could end up washing out that area. This would add another culvert to take the load off of the culvert that couldn't handle the flow. The current culvert could not be oversized due to the elevations and level of the road wouldn't allow a larger culvert. The only way to do it is to divert it since it makes curve so naturally, they can take it up to the elevation to solve that problem. Is that a decent summary? **Rob Clarkson** – Engineering wise, yes. **Dave Decker** – So you just need \$300 more than the original bid? **Rob Clarkson** – Yes.

Steve Yates Jr. – Motions to approve the additional \$300 to the original approved 2019 road plan to complete the work.

Monica O'Brien – Seconds.

All in Favor.

Motion Carries.

Rob Clarkson – The 2019 road plan included oil and chip for three roads that we are doing ditching on: Westview, Clover and portion of Tamarach. The ditching hasn't started yet, we are out of time for getting those three roads oiled and chipped this year. If we did that now it would be a waste of money since we would tear it up doing the ditching. We identified 5,073ft of road that we were going to put on our 2020 plan and would like to make those three roads for ditching a priority next year and substitute those with Brigantine which was 1,324ft, Key West at 1,285ft, Treasure at 1,944ft and Monaco Court at 520ft which totals to 5,073ft of road. The roads we have touched out in the community

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

that we planned on and it's a 50ft difference. **Dave Decker** – None of these roads have not been done in the last three years? **Rob Clarkson** – No. They were the same priorities as the roads we have for 2019. **Dave Decker** – The amount that we have in the budget this year for oil and chip, do we have that amount in the budget for next year so we can do these roads? **Rob Clarkson** – We can adjust a few costs in some areas for ditching or maybe a repair to take that money in affect, yes. **Dave Decker** – What is the Board's thoughts? **Steve Yates Jr.** – I'm all for getting things done when we can.

Ali DeVries – Motions to approve road plan adjustment.

Tony Harris – Seconds.

All in Favor.

Motion Carries.

New Business

Variance Request for fence, 885 Malibu Way

Dave Decker – This is a variance request for a fence that has already been installed. The recommendation from the Building Committee was they did not recommend this variance. Does anyone have questions for Brent? **Ali DeVries** – Do you want to explain to us what happened? **Brent Furrow, 885** – Sure, last fall I had a conversation with Rob Frey regarding my neighbors who had a bunch of debris piled up in their driveway. They had multiple rusted tractor parts, skids, pieces of plywood and stuff of that nature. Rob Frey informed me that he spoke with the owners and that they were using those items. We also had a conversation on the things I can do to my brand-new home to preserve the beauty of my home. We talked about lattice work, ornamental fencing or some kind of gate. He specifically said that as long as it wasn't a full fence, it would be okay. By definition, a fence is an enclosure. What I have is a partition and it is clearly to block the view of the debris that my neighbor has in this driveway which hasn't been moved in two years. This partition doesn't extend the back of my house and the top of the fence is no higher than the top of road way, so it sets back off of the road. I spoke with my neighbor and he is indifferent to the fence and told me he never complained about it which I have in writing from him in a text message. It purely to preserve the beauty of my home and my view. **Dave Decker** – For those of you who don't know, our rules do not allow a fence in your front yard. **Brent Furrow, 885** – By definition of fence, are you talking about an enclosure? **Dave Decker** – I'm talking about what you have. In my mind that is a fence and when you buy it, they are going to say it's a fence. You're buying fencing materials. **Monica O'Brien** – That is clearly a fence and a privacy fence which are also not allowed. Did you take this to the Building Committee or apply for a permit prior to installing the fence? **Brent Furrow, 885** – No, I did not apply for a permit because it's not a whole fence based on the conversation, I had with Rob Frey last fall. I didn't think it was an issue. **Monica O'Brien** – Are you aware of our building rules? **Brent Furrow, 885** – I am now, yes. **Dave Decker** – You were given a copy of them when you built the house, right? **Monica O'Brien** – They can also be found on our website. **Brent Furrow, 885** – Again, this is just to preserve the beauty of my home and not look at my neighbor's junk. **Monica O'Brien** – You say their junk, I see three trailers with two pallets. Is that the junk? Because I'm not seeing more junk stacked in these photos. **Brent Furrow, 885** – Those pictures were taken more recently, and he has cleaned up some of it in recent months. He had all sorts of stuff such as multiple trash totes, rotted plywood that was not being used. **Dave Decker** – What's the Board's thoughts? **Tony Harris** – I struggle with this one because it does not meet our building rules and I understand your issues and what you are wanting to accomplish. **Monica O'Brien** – I personally think it's a fence and doesn't follow our rules. If you look into that, the Building Committee would have turned that away from the very beginning. I'm having a hard time with this one. **Dave Decker** – What they would have done is they would have said that it does not meet our building rules and you would then come to us for a variance request. I would expect we would have gotten the same recommendation at that point. **Brent Furrow, 885** – In eyesight, that is something Rob Frey should have directed me to do. If it was an enclosure, I would understand coming for approval. **Dave Decker** – I understand you're trying to sell this, but the reality is, if I took this to anybody, they would say it's a fence. **Brent Furrow, 885** – This was never brought to me by the Association that this could be a potential violation. The neighbor's yard this fence is bordering is indifferent to it. All I'm asking is to please approve this since it does not block anybody's view. I understand that it doesn't follow your codes. **Steve Yates Jr.** – Were you fined from building this without a permit? **Brent Furrow, 885** – No, I received no communication from the office. **Dave Decker** – That will be the result of what happens here. If it's approved, you would have to submit your permit and forfeit your refund. Just to note, in all three of your documents you used the term fence several times. **Brent**

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Furrow, 885 – We can call it a fence, partition or vinyl sections. **Ali DeVries** – I would be more in favor of this if it wasn't in the front yard. **Monica O'Brien** – This is too big of a violation. Not only is a privacy fence on a lake front home but it's in the front yard. Ignorance of the rules does not mean you don't have to follow them. **Steve Yates Jr.** – I am in 100% of agreeance with that. **Dave Decker** – No one has motioned to approve it. Therefore, it is denied.

Ballroom Rental waiver request from Tigers Holiday Shores Baseball Organization

Wade Koester, 1411 – We have been throwing our fundraiser here for a few years now for Tigers Holiday Shores baseball. We raise money for the parents do not have to pay for their children to play on our team. Brian and I coach three teams. We were hoping to use the ballroom again for this year's fundraiser with a waived fee. **Dave Decker** – One of the questions that is always asked, is there a significant representation of Holiday Shores kids on the teams? **Wade Koester, 1411** – Yes. Half of the kids on all three teams live in Holiday Shores. **Dave Decker** – You are requesting a waiver of the rental fee, but you still have to pay for security and cleaning fee. It is strictly waiving just the rental fee. **Wade Koester, 1411** – Yes, understood. Thank you.
Steve Yates Jr. – Motions to approve the ballroom waiver fee for Tigers Holiday Shores Baseball Organization.
Monica O'Brien – Seconds.
All in Favor.
Motion Carries.

Correspondence

Letter from Roy Northcutt, 1763

I am submitting a proposal to have better security at the Boat Ramp. I frequently find the chain unlocked which allows outsiders to access to launch at our Holiday Shores Boat Ramp. I would suggest we put at least one security camera pointed towards the chain and lock on the ramp. That would allow us to see who is leaving the lock open and thereby facilitating outsiders to use our lake. We could then also see who is illegally using our launch and lake. We should think strongly about an outsider getting hurt on our lake and creating a legal situation which could cost all of the members should this occur. I would further suggest a small fine for those forgetting to lock the chain in order to "remind" them to do so next time.

Dave Decker – This was addressed to the Association Manager. Currently, there is a citation that can be issued for not putting the chain back up and locking it. My understanding is that there is going to be new security cameras installed at the Marina which we are hoping to get access to if necessary. **Rob Clarkson** – I'm trying to negotiate that with the management at the Marina. **Steve Yates Jr.** – Do we have any signage there? **Rob Clarkson** – Yes, right next to the lock. **Monica O'Brien** – Rob, has this been a big problem with unauthorized boats? **Rob Clarkson** – The day of the Poker Run, the chain was down and I put it back up and locked it. As I was leaving, a boat with Missouri tags with no Holiday Shores stickers came through the parking lot and left. It was locked but they were here for a reason. **Dave Decker** – This is one of the reasons why we would like Public Safety on the lake more often so they can spot those vehicles. At this point based on what is currently happening at the Marina, my recommendation would be to wait and see what occurs with the Marina.

Open Floor

Jerry Theodore, 1346 – Earlier this evening, I heard the best and most logical presentation regarding PWC's. It was made aware of a lot of information that I didn't know existed from the committee. I'd have to say the presentation was extremely well done. The committees are to assist the Board by making recommendations. It would be assumed those recommendations are looked at and followed. This one here looks like it diverged quite a bit from the recommendation. I know this is a late date in this process, but I think maybe the recommendation must be re-looked and talked about. There is a lot of sense in that recommendation. Thank you. **Dave Decker** – Just for clarification, all that information was brought to the Board and was discussed the very first night we talked about it.

Lisa Purdy East, 1302 – I have two things I would like to address. First is the boating issue out here. We didn't even put our boat on the lake this year, but we have been on our friend's boat. I've always been very direct and honest with

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

people on the lake since I moved out here about having lot numbers and stickers on their boats. I've seen more this year without lot numbers and stickers more than once. Also, people do not keep their distance from other boats in no wake areas and other problems like that. I'm curious to what is happening with that. If your lot numbers are stickers are not presented on your boat, then it should not be on the lake. I know there had been issues with the Public Safety boat, but they used to come out a lot more than they are now. I just wanted to see how we are addressing this. **Dave Decker** – We have talked about this the last couple of meetings regarding to Public Safety on the lake as much as we should. **Rob Clarkson** – I send a set schedule out to Public Safety and each day says boat patrol. They receive a memo from me that states they must be on the lake at least once a shift to patrol. I'm out here on the weekends and see them come through, I call them to come check on boats. We do not currently have a rule that says you cannot swim in a no wake area. **Lisa Purdy East, 1302** – I thought there was a distance you had to keep. **Rob Clarkson** – You can anchor in the lake to swim or fish as you please. There is no state law that governs that, it is not a recommended thing to do but we have no wake areas. That is what they are to adhere to. I've sent many letters out about making wakes in no wake areas. Same thing with lot numbers and boat stickers. There is a whole sheet of citation tracking to keep up with those. It does take man power, but they are required to patrol the lake once per shift. If that is not being done, I need to know about it so I can address it with those individuals when they come to work. **Dave Decker** – Do we have a duration requirement when they patrol on the water? **Rob Clarkson** – I set times on the schedule for when they should be on the water. **Lisa Purdy East, 1302** – This is not just our street but once again, we are having speeders on the road. School has started now, and I have not seen Madison County that used to be out here during the school season. I also see golf carts speeding up and down our streets. I saw a couple of young gentlemen under sixteen that came from the Clubhouse and followed behind me and turned onto Saint James Road with no license plates or anything. They then passed me at the bank and onto Behme's they went, I had stopped and had a nice little discussion on how it should not be on Saint James Road. As I was finishing up at the bank, there they were riding down Saint James again. What's the rule against golf carts? **Dave Decker** – I'm glad you brought that up and will break it down into two pieces. The speeding, Matt Ressler had a meeting scheduled with the Madison County Sheriff's Department which Rob and Matt met up with them on Monday. They are going to have the follow up and recommendations from them to discuss. We are trying to do something there. My recommendation is if you see someone speeding down that road, call Madison County and complain. The theory is, the more complaints they get, the more they will be out here. **Lisa Purdy East, 1302** – I have. **Dave Decker** – In regard to golf carts or something related, they are not legal on our roads. **Lisa Purdy East, 1302** – That's what I thought. **Dave Decker** – They're definitely not legal on Saint James, Dam Road or Prairietown Road. I've noticed that people think they can move a slow-moving vehicle triangle on their vehicle and that is not the case. I actually had an individual bring a proposal for charging stickers for golf carts. We tried this several years ago, it got way out of hand and was dropped. If we were to do that, it still would not make them legal on the primary roads. **Lisa Purdy East, 1302** – I was just very concerned because these were twelve-year-old boys. **Dave Decker** – I'm throwing this out to the Board if they would be interested in licensing or permitting golf carts and side-by-sides. I would be interested in whether or not there is any appetite to discuss that. **Anthony Harrell** – There is a type of vehicle calls LSV, low speed vehicle that looks like a golf cart, but it has additional features like a car has. Break lights, full windshield and comes with a VIN number so it can be plated. You have to have a drivers license to operate it. It is only legal on roads that are 35mph or less. They would not be legal on the primary roads that are 40mph. **Lisa Purdy East, 1302** – Will something be put out on our social sites when Madison County is around? I have no problem with sitting in my driveway not using my teacher voice at adults who speed down my street. **Dave Decker** – I wouldn't be surprised if we saw them out here more in the near future and depending on what comes to the Board from their meeting, there may be more. **Lisa Purdy East, 1302** – Going back to the golf carts, I think it's time we put a reminder on Facebook or in the Holiday Times about what are current rule is. **Dave Decker** – Do we want to discuss anything in regard to golf carts, side-by-sides and such? **Monica O'Brien** – I'm open to discuss it. **Anthony Harrell** – There is so many out here at this point that I think we should look at it. **Dave Decker** – My personal thing with these is they do less wear and tear on our roads than a four wheel pick up. **Steve Yates Jr.** – They are easy to navigate but I'm not opposed to discussing it. **Dave Decker** – I'll look through my paperwork from the last time this was discussed. We will put it on the agenda for next meeting to open up the conversation at least.

Holiday Shores
Board of Directors Meeting Minutes

Steve Yates Jr. – Motions to adjourn to Executive Session

Ali DeVries – Seconds.

All in Favor.

Meeting adjourned at 9:15 PM

Meeting Minutes submitted by Megan Jackson.